

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Satoko SHITAGAKI et al.
Serial No.: 10/826,838
Filed: April 16, 2004
For: Quinoxaline Derivative, And Organic
Semiconductor Device, Electric Field
Light Emitting Device, And Electronic
Device Which Have The Same
Examiner: Jill M. Gray
Confirmation No.: 1744
Art Unit: 1774

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ELECTION AND AMENDMENT B

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement of October 5, 2006, Applicants elect to prosecute Species A (the compounds of Formula (2)) in the above-identified application.

The Examiner is also requiring that if Formula (2) is elected, then a further election of Formulas (3), (4) or (5), for each X and Y be made. In response, Applicants elect to prosecute Formula (3). Applicants believe that Claims 4, 9-17 are readable on this species. Applicants note that Claim 1 is generic.

Applicants are making this election without disclaimer or prejudice to filing a divisional application on the non-elected species/claims.